```
1
    DAYLE ELIESON
    United States Attorney
    District of Nevada
    ALEXANDRA MICHAEL
3
    Assistant United States Attorney
    501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-388-6336 / Fax: (702) 388-5087
 5
    Alexandra.M.Michael@usdoj.gov
6
    Counsel for Plaintiff
    United States of America
7
8
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10
                                               * * *
11
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                    2:17-mj-00683-CWH
12
                  Plaintiff,
13
                                                    STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
                                                    PRELIMINARY HEARING
                  VS.
14
                                                    (Sixth Request)
    SEHDEV et al,
15
16
                  Defendant.
17
```

## STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY HEARING

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between STEVEN W. MYHRE, Acting United States Attorney, and Alexandra Michael., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, Thomas David Boley, Esq., counsel for Defendant JOSE LUIS MONTOYA and Brian Smith, Esq., counsel for Defendant ASHOK KUMAR SEHDEV, that the preliminary hearing for the above-captioned matter, currently scheduled for June 15, 2018, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to a date and time convenient for this Court, but in no event earlier than thirty (30) days.

This stipulation is entered for the following reasons:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. Tom Boley, Esq., counsel for Defendant JOSE LUIS MONTOYA needs additional time review discovery and prepare for the preliminary hearing.

1	2. Brian Smith, Esq., counsel for Defendant ASHOK KUMAR SEHDEV and t
2	government submitted a fully executed plea agreement and related documents to the Clerk
3	office on June 12, 2018, but are still awaiting a date and time for a change of plea hearing.
4	3. Both Defendants are in custody and they do not object to the continuance.
5	4. The parties all agree to the continuance.
6	5. Denial of this request for continuance of the preliminary hearing wou
7	potentially prejudice both the Defendant and the Government and unnecessarily consume the
8	Court's valuable resources, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
9	6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarria
10	of justice.
11	7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing t
12	time within which the defendant must be indicted and the trial herein must commence pursua
13	to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(b) and 3161(h)(8)(A), considering the factors und
14	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(i) and (iv).
15	8. This is the sixth request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing here
16	DATED: June 14, 2018.
17	
18	ALEXANDRA MICHAEL THOMAS DAVID BOLEY
19	Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for Defendant JOSE LUIS MONTOYA
20	Counsel for the United States
21	
22	/s/
23	BRIAN SMITH Counsel for Defendant ASHOK KUMAR SEHDEV
24	
25	
26	
27	
,	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

ORDER CONTINUING
PRELIMINARY HEARING

vs.

SEHDEV et al,

Defendant.

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRAFI / U